Test Vendor ID:	IWO 1080
Qwest Internal Tracking ID:	TI 234
Observation/IWO Title:	Collocation Measure CP-4
Test Type/Domain:	Performance Measures / Richard Palmer
Date Qwest Received:	2/27/2001
Initial Response Date:	3/22/2001
Supplemental Response Date:	4/24/2001

Test Incident Summary:

This IWO referrs to Collocation Measure CP-4.

For CP-4 measures in SEP-00 through Nov-00, CGE&Y has found results that differ from Qwest Published Results.

CGE&Y Calculations and Results

Table 13.8.5 CP-4 -- Feasibility Study Commitments Met, CGE&Y Results					
Month	Disaggregation Level	Num	Denom	Result	Benchmark
Sep-00	A-1, Virtual, Physical Caged, and Shared Collocation	7	7	100%	90%
	A-2, Augments to Virtual, Physical Caged, and Shared Collocations	13	13	100%	90%
	B-1, Cageless Collocations	3	3	100%	90%
	B-2, Augments to Cageless Collocations	69	70	99%	90%
Oct-00	A-1, Virtual, Physical Caged, and Shared Collocation	14	14	100%	90%
	A-2, Augments to Virtual, Physical Caged, and Shared Collocations	6	6	100%	90%
	B-1, Cageless Collocations	23	23	100%	90%
	B-2, Augments to Cageless Collocations	10	10	100%	90%
Nov-00	A-1, Virtual, Physical Caged, and Shared Collocation	3	3	100%	90%
	A-2, Augments to Virtual, Physical Caged, and Shared Collocations	12	12	100%	90%
	B-1, Cageless Collocations	11	11	100%	90%
	B-2, Augments to Cageless Collocations	6	6	100%	90%

Qwest Published Results

Table 13.8.6 CP-4 -- Feasibility Study Commitments Met, Qwest Published Results					
Month	Disaggregation Level	Num	Denom	Result	Benchmark
Sep-00	A-1, Virtual, Physical Caged, and Shared Collocation	7	7	100%	90%
	A-2, Augments to Virtual, Physical Caged, and Shared Collocations	13	13	100%	90%
	B-1, Cageless Collocations	3	3	100%	90%
	B-2, Augments to Cageless Collocations	68	69	99%	90%
Oct-00	A-1, Virtual, Physical Caged, and Shared Collocation	19	19	100%	90%
	A-2, Augments to Virtual, Physical Caged, and Shared Collocations	6	6	100%	90%
	B-1, Cageless Collocations	28	28	100%	90%
	B-2, Augments to Cageless Collocations	6	6	100%	90%
Nov-00	A-1, Virtual, Physical Caged, and Shared Collocation	3	3	100%	90%
	A-2, Augments to Virtual, Physical Caged, and Shared Collocations	12	12	100%	90%
	B-1, Cageless Collocations	6	6	100%	90%
	B-2, Augments to Cageless Collocations	6	6	100%	90%

These differences are not the result of some disaggregation level misallocation. If it were, we would see offsetting differences in another disaggregation level within the same month. Qwest's job aid for Feasibility analysis is in error: it calls out exclusion of jobs with a scheduled feasibility over 10 days and jobs with a combined feasibility & quote of 21 days.

CGE&Y requests that
1. Qwest provide a corrected feasibility job aid. Columns M-P don't match the data Qwest supplied to CGE&Y and the computation of Column U doesn't match CP-4's requirements
2. Qwest explain the differences between the two measures.

Qwest Response Summary:

1. An updated Collocation Report Job Aid (available through RITS Data Request TI-234) further defines the columns in the raw data file. This reflects an enhancement to the Job Aid, which correlates to an enhancement of the worksheet that was implemented in February.

   The job aid correctly implements the exclusion in the PID and states:

   The computation in Column U is for "Average Interval" (CP-3) and reflects the guidelines as stated in the job aid "Average actual interval – excludes jobs with a scheduled feasibility over 10 days and jobs with combined feasibility & quote of 21 days]: Total of disaggregated grouping Col P (less exclusions)/Number of Jobs included in total."

2. See Notes Column below for explanation of discrepancies between CGE&Y and Qwest results

CP-4 – Feasibility Study Commitments Met – Qwest/CGE&Y Comparison							
Month	Diasaggregation Level	CGE&Y Num	CGE&Y Den	CGE&Y Result	Qwest Num	Qwest Den	Qwest Result	Notes
Sep-00	A-1 Virtual, Physical Caged & Shared	7	7	100%	7	7	100%	
	A-2 Augments to Virtual, Physical Caged & Shared	13	13	100%	13	13	100%	
	B-1 Cageless	3	3	100%	3	3	100%	
	B-2 Augments to Cageless	69	70	99%	68	69	99%	Qwest excluded C01LCIK – 21-day combined Feasibility/Quote interval
Oct-00	A-1 Virtual, Physical Caged & Shared	14	14	100%	19	19	100%	Qwest included 5 Physical Line Sharing jobs [Type "Q"]
	A-2 Augments to Virtual, Physical Caged & Shared	6	6	100%	6	6	100%	
	B-1 Cageless	23	23	100%	28	28	100%	Can not duplicate this result. Qwest reported 17 of 17 = 100%. [Qwest wonders if '28' is a typo?] Data file has 17 New Cageless jobs in October. Is it possible CGE&Y inadvertently included the 6 New Cageless jobs for November in their count?
	B-2 Augments to Cageless	10	10	100%	6	6	100%	Qwest excluded C01LCIW, C01LCIY, C01LCIX & C01LC1Q - 21-day combined Feasibility/Quote interval

Nov-00	A-1 Virtual, Physical Caged & Shared	3	3	100%	3	3	100%	
	A-2 Augments to Virtual, Physical Caged & Shared	12	12	100%	12	12	100%	
	B-1 Cageless	11	11	100%	6	6	100%	Only 6 New Cageless jobs in data file – Did CGE&Y include 5 ICDF [Type "I"] New jobs from November? ICDF is not a reportable collocation type.
	B-2 Augments to Cageless	6	6	100%	6	6	100%	

Qwest's Supplemental Response to AT&T Comments 4/24/2001:

The job aid is designed to provide overall direction for manual reporting of Collocation Performance results for the entire 14-state region. It therefore contains some references that may not be applicable to all states.

The detail of computations for Column U provided in the response to this incident apply only to CP-3, Installation Commitments Met which Arizona does not measure. With regard to CP-4, Feasibility Study Commitments Met, Qwest does not exclude intervals greater than 10 days from the calculations.

The 21-day combined Feasibility and Quote intervals are not excluded as such but reported within CP-6, Quote Commitments Met. Qwest believes that reporting these combined requests as Quote only and not double counting these jobs as both Feasibility and Quote is compliant with not only the job aid but also the PID.

Attachment(s): None